

1 BRIAN M. BOYNTON
2 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
3 Civil Division

4 LESLEY FARBY
5 Assistant Branch Director
6 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

7 LESLIE COOPER VIGEN
8 Trial Attorney (DC Bar No. 1019782)
9 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
10 United States Department of Justice
11 1100 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005
12 Telephone: (202) 305-0727
13 Email: leslie.vigen@usdoj.gov

14 *Counsel for United States*

15
16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19 Brayden STARK, Judd
20 OOSTYEN, Kevin BLACK, and
21 Maryann OWENS, individually
22 and on behalf of all others
23 similarly situated,

24 Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 PATREON, INC.,

27 Defendant.

28 **No. 3:22-cv-03131-JCS**

29 **DECLARATION OF**
30 **LESLIE COOPER VIGEN**

31 I, Leslie Cooper Vigen, hereby declare:

32 1. I am a Trial Attorney with the U.S. Department of Justice, Civil
33 Division, Federal Programs Branch and counsel for the United States. I submit
34 this declaration in support of the United States of America's Stipulated Request to
35 Enlarge Time to Intervene.

1 2. I have personal knowledge of the contents of this declaration, and I
 2 could and would testify competently thereto if called upon to do so.

3 3. On August 6, 2022, Defendant filed a Notice of Constitutional
 4 Question pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1 and Civil L.R. 3-8. See
 5 ECF No. 24.

6 4. In that Notice, Defendant stated that its Motion to Dismiss, *see* ECF
 7 No. 21, “draws into question the constitutionality of the Video Privacy Protection
 8 Act (“VPPA”), 18 U.S.C. § 2710,” specifically “whether the VPPA, on its face and as
 9 Plaintiffs seek to apply it, is unconstitutional because it violates the First
 10 Amendment to the United States Constitution.” ECF No. 24.

11 5. The United States is authorized to intervene in any federal court
 12 action in which the constitutionality of an Act of Congress is drawn into question.
 13 28 U.S.C. § 2403(a). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1, the United
 14 States may intervene within 60 days after a Notice is filed, “unless the court sets
 15 a later time.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(c).

16 6. On September 16, 2022, Plaintiffs, Defendant, and the United States
 17 stipulated to provide the United States with an additional 30 days to decide
 18 whether to intervene in this action for the limited purpose of defending the
 19 constitutionality of the VPPA. ECF No. 33. The Court entered the stipulation the
 20 same day, enlarging the time for the United States to intervene until November 4,
 21 2022. ECF No. 34.

22 7. On October 13, 2022, the Court entered an order partially granting
 23 Defendant’s motion to dismiss, with leave to amend, “as to Plaintiffs’ claim under
 24 the VPPA, as well as a California claim to the extent it is directly derivative of
 25 their VPPA claim.” Order at 1, ECF No. 40. The Court provided Plaintiffs until
 26 October 27, 2022 to file an amended complaint. *Id.*

1 8. In the Order, the Court expressly declined to reach the parties’
 2 constitutional arguments, and stated that “[b]ecause it appears clear that Patreon
 3 would renew its constitutional challenge if Plaintiffs reassert their VPPA claim,
 4 the deadline for the United States to determine whether to intervene to address
 5 that challenge remains set for November 4, 2022.” *Id.* at 19.

6 9. Because there is no pending challenge to the constitutionality of a
 7 federal statute, the United States requires a short extension of its time to intervene
 8 to defend the constitutionality of the VPPA, until seven days after the filing of any
 9 motion to dismiss that raises a constitutional challenge to the VPPA.

10 10. Good cause exists for this requested extension. First, this extension
 11 would accord with the statute authorizing the United States to intervene. *See* 28
 12 U.S.C. § 2403(a) (directing courts to “permit the United States to intervene . . . for
 13 argument on the question of constitutionality” in any suit “wherein the
 14 constitutionality of any Act of Congress . . . is drawn in question”); *see also* Fed. R.
 15 Civ. P. 5.1 (requiring notice upon the filing of “a pleading, written motion, or other
 16 paper drawing into question the constitutionality of a federal statute”). Second, it
 17 would also allow the United States to respond directly to the specific arguments
 18 raised in any future constitutional challenge, while still providing Plaintiffs and
 19 Defendant an opportunity to consider the United States’ response in preparing
 20 their response and reply, respectively, to any such motion.

21 11. Should Defendant file a motion to dismiss challenging the
 22 constitutionality of the VPPA, United States will be prepared to file its notice of
 23 intervention and accompanying memorandum in defense of the statute seven days
 24 later.

25 12. This is the second modification of the time for the United States to
 26 decide whether to intervene in this matter, as set forth above. Plaintiffs and
 27 Defendant have otherwise stipulated to the following modifications of time:
 28

- 1 i. Extending Defendant's time to respond to the complaint from
2 June 21, 2022 to August 5, 2022, *see* ECF No. 13; and
3 ii. Extending the briefing schedule for Defendant's motion to dismiss
4 as follows, *see* ECF No. 26:
5 1. Continuing the deadline for Plaintiffs' response until
6 September 9, 2022;
7 2. Continuing the deadline for Defendant's reply until
8 September 30, 2022; and
9 3. Continuing the hearing until October 14, 2022.

10 13. This stipulated extension will not otherwise affect the deadlines in
11 this matter.

12 14. This stipulated extension is requested for good cause, and is not
13 intended to cause undue delay or otherwise prejudice any party.

15 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the
16 foregoing is true and correct.

17 Signed on November 2, 2022.

20 _____
21 */s/ Leslie Cooper Vigen*
22 LESLIE COOPER VIGEN
23
24
25
26
27
28